A Comparative Study of Neural Models for Polyphonic Music Sequence Transduction Adrien Ycart, Daniel Stoller, Emmanouil Benetos Centre for Digital Music, Queen Mary University of London {a.ycart,d.stoller,emmanouil.benetos}@qmul.ac.uk

Problem statement



We compare various neural-network approaches to learn a mapping from **posteriogram to piano-roll**

Dataset

- MAPS dataset: classical piano music
- Split: trained on synthetic pianos, tested on real pianos
- Inputs downsampled to 16th note timesteps using A-MAPS annotations

Comparison: 16 configurations

Acoustic Model

- Kelz et al. (2016):
 - Piano-specific CNN
- Bittner et al. (2017):
 - General-purpose multi-pitch detection system

Outputs

- Sigmoid outputs + threshold post-processing
 - Threshold tuned on validation dataset
- Dong et al. (2018): Binary neurons
 - Forward: step function, backward: sigmoid
 - Good results for music generation with GAN

Training loss

Frame-based:

- Sigmoid outputs: Cross-entropy Binary outputs: F-measure
- Adversarial: Wasserstein GAN
 - Conditional discriminator
 - Architecture inspired by DCGAN: (3x3 convolutions, stride 2x2)*4 + 2 dense layers









Results



Kelz	Metric		Thresh	HMM	Cross-entropy		F-measure		WGAN		WGAN-Binary	
					LSTM	CNN	LSTM	CNN	LSTM	CNN	LSTM	CNN
	le	$ \mathcal{F} $	67.9	49.6	66.8	70.8	66.5	70.4	64.7	69.7	64.1	68.7
	an	$ \mathcal{P} $	70.9	74.1	72.6	73.4	70.2	72.2	72.5	74.1	74.4	73.9
	Fr	$ \mathcal{R} $	66.7	40.1	63.2	69.6	64.6	70.1	59.8	67.2	57.8	65.5
		\mathcal{F}	45.0	43.8	43.4	53.2	43.1	51.6	40.4	49.4	41.1	46.9
	lot	$ \mathcal{P} $	44.0	82.4	42.8	50.9	39.3	50.7	39.5	50.1	40.5	44.6
		$ \mathcal{R} $	47.5	31.3	45.6	57.1	49.4	53.9	43.0	50.0	43.6	51.0

Bittner	Metric		Thresh	HMM	Cross-entropy		F-measure		WGAN		WGAN-Binary	
					LSTM	CNN	LSTM	CNN	LSTM	CNN	LSTM	CNN
	Frame	$ \mathcal{F} $	58.8	61.5	52.1	66.3	35.8	66.0	43.4	58.8	41.1	56.8
		$\mid \mathcal{P} \mid$	59.6	52.6	48.0	68.5	26.9	69.3	43.9	58.7	35.9	61.9
		$ \mathcal{R} $	61.5	79.6	60.5	66.1	65.4	65.3	47.7	60.9	50.8	56.4
	Note	$ \mathcal{F} $	44.6	48.4	39.3	53.4	31.9	53.1	30.1	43.2	36.2	44.0
		$\mid \mathcal{P} \mid$	42.2	62.5	35.7	49.3	25.2	50.7	27.6	40.8	34.4	44.8
		$ \mathcal{R} $	48.6	40.4	45.1	59.9	45.6	57.3	34.5	47.2	39.2	44.5

Cross-entropy F-Measure WGAN WGAN-Binary

Cross-entropy F-Measure WGAN WGAN-Binary

Main conclusions

- Overall best: CNN, Sigmoid outputs, Cross-entropy loss
- LSTM strongly overfits on specific pianos in training set
- Cross-entropy is better than GAN and F-measure as loss
- Binary neurons do not help (neither with GAN nor F-measure loss)

R. Kelz, M. Dorfer, F. Korzeniowski, S. Bock, A. Arzt, and G. Widmer, "On the Potential of Simple Framewise Approaches to Piano Transcription," 17th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR), 2016.

R. M. Bittner, B. McFee, J. Salamon, P. Li, and J. P. Bello, "Deep Salience Representations for F0 Estimation in Polyphonic Music," 18th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR), 2017.

H.-W. Dong, Y.-H. Yang, "Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks with Binary Neurons for Polyphonic Music Generation," 19th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR), 2018.

A. Ycart, E. Benetos, "Polyphonic Music Sequence Transduction with Meter-Constrained LSTM Networks," IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2018

G.E. Poliner, D.P.W Ellis, "A Discriminative Model for Polyphonic Piano Transcription," EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, 2006

A. Ycart, E. Benetos, "A-MAPS: Augmented MAPS Dataset with Rhythm and Key Annotations," " 19th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval Late Breaking and Demos Papers, 2018.



